IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

Betsy Toman,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) No.
Cantigny Foundation, an Illinois not-for-profit corporation, and Robert R. McCormick Foundation, an Illinois not-for-profit corporation,	Demand for Trial by Jury)
Defendants.)

COMPLAINT

Betsy Toman, by her attorneys, Ronald J. Broida and Joseph K. Nichele, for her Complaint against Cantigny Foundation, an Illinois not-for-profit corporation, and Robert R. McCormick Foundation, an Illinois not-for-profit corporation, states:

NATURE OF THE CASE

1. Betsy Toman ("Toman") brings this action against Cantigny Foundation, an Illinois not-for-profit corporation ("Cantigny"), and Robert R. McCormick Foundation, an Illinois not-for-profit corporation (collectively herein referred as "Defendants") for unlawful harassment and discrimination based on Toman's disability. Defendants' harassment and discrimination has caused Toman substantial mental anguish and emotional distress for which Toman seeks redress in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), 42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq.

STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. The United States District Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 in conjunction with 42 U.S.C. § 12101 *et seq.* The Northern District of Illinois is the proper venue because Defendants have their business in and this action within this judicial district. 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

3. On February 26, 2010, Toman filed her charge of discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission and received a Right to Sue letter on August 12, 2011. A true and accurate copy of the Charge of Discrimination that was filed with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission is attached hereto, is marked as Exhibit A and is incorporated herein by reference. A true and accurate copy of the Right to Sue letter is attached hereto, is marked as Exhibit B and is incorporated herein by reference.

VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990

- 4. Cantigny is part of the Robert R. McCormick Foundation. Defendants own and operate a 500 acre park in Wheaton, Illinois, which includes gardens, a golf course and two museums.
 - 5. On October 20, 1997, Toman was hired by Defendants to work at Cantigny.
- 6. Toman was hired as a parts and records assistant, and her duties included mostly office work and occasionally driving a pickup truck to get parts.
- 7. At all times during her employment, Toman was performing her job duties in a satisfactory manner.
- 8. In March of 2007, Toman was diagnosed as having a tumor in her foot requiring surgery. Toman was off of work for seven weeks for surgery and rehabilitation, and because of continuing pain, Toman needed additional physical therapy later that year. The pain from the tumor and subsequent surgery caused Toman extreme difficulty in walking and lifting.
 - 9. Toman was placed on restrictions for lifting and walking.
- When Toman returned to work after her surgery, her supervisors treated her in a hostile manner.
- 11. When Toman started working at Cantigny, she was told by the director of the department that once the current purchasing agent retired, Toman would be promoted to her job

position. The fact that Toman was going to be promoted was continually reconfirmed to her up until the time just prior to her diagnosis.

- 12. In August of 2007, Toman learned that another less qualified and less senior employee was being trained for that position. No explanation was ever given to her, and the only change in her circumstances was that she had surgery and subsequent difficulty walking and lifting.
- 13. In January of 2008, Toman's job title was changed to facility assistant and her duties were increased to include additional walking, climbing stairs and lifting and carrying every day. Prior to this time, Toman's job duties included mostly office work, and did not have a substantial physical component. These new duties were difficult for Toman because of her problems walking; however, when she informed her supervisors of her difficulties, she was ignored.
- 14. In 2008, every employee in Toman's department received a 2% raise. Toman received a 1% raise. Toman was told the reason for the difference was because Toman did not perform all her work because of her restrictions.
- 15. On January 16, 2009, Toman's supervisors, already ignoring her lifting and walking restrictions, informed her that more job tasks were going to be added to her job description which would include inventory (climbing the ladder in the parts room) and duties at the club house on the far south end of the property. Toman's objections that these additional tasks did not comply with her work restrictions were ignored.
- 16. Toman referred back to her doctor at which point it was realized that his original restrictions had been misinterpreted and were in fact even more restrictive with respect to walking than previously thought. Toman immediately informed her supervisors and was put on desk work only.
- 17. In March of 2009, Toman was required by Defendants to go on short-term disability even though she had not requested to do so.

- 18. On October 16, 2009, after her short-term disability expired, Toman received a letter dated October 8, 2009 by Federal Express, notifying her that her employment at Cantigny had been terminated.
- 19. Toman is disabled and substantially limited in the major life activities of walking and working. Defendants regarded Toman as disabled in the major life activities of walking and working. Toman was significantly restricted in her ability to perform a broad range of jobs as compared to an average person having comparable training, skills and abilities.
- 20. Toman was able to perform the essential functions of her job with reasonable accommodations. Instead, after she became disabled, additional duties were added to her job which included lifting (over her weight restrictions) and walking up and down stairs and ladders.
- 21. Similarly situated employees with less experience and seniority, who are not suffering from a disability, were treated more favorably than Toman, were not subjected to unequal terms and conditions of employment, and were not terminated. Further, Toman was denied promotions and raises afforded to other similarly situated employees.
- 22. Toman requested reasonable accommodations to assist her in performing her job; however, her request for accommodations were ignored.
- 23. Any reason for terminating Toman's employment is pretext for discriminating against Toman because of her disability.
- 24. The aforementioned acts of Defendants constitutes unlawful and wilful discrimination against Toman based on her disability in violation of the violation of the ADA.
- 25. As a result of Defendants' malicious conduct and reckless indifference to Toman's federally protected rights, Toman has suffered substantial damages, including but not limited to pain, suffering, emotional anguish and distress, loss of normal life, loss of employment, wages, benefits,

Case: 1:11-cv-07916 Document #: 1 Filed: 11/07/11 Page 5 of 9 PageID #:1

attorneys' fees and court costs. In addition, Defendants' misconduct is so egregious that an award of

compensatory damages is not sufficient and there should be an award of punitive damages to punish

Defendants and to deter future misconduct.

26. Toman demands a trial by jury.

Wherefore, Betsy Toman prays for a trial by jury and for judgment against Cantigny Foundation,

a not-for-profit corporation, and the Robert R. McCormick Foundation, an Illinois not-for-profit

corporation, and each of them, in her favor for:

A. All back pay, with interest on back pay and front pay, compensatory damages, including

future pecuniary losses, emotional pain, suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of

life, plus punitive damages, all in an amount in excess of \$100,000; and

B. All attorneys' fees, costs and other relief this Court deems equitable and just.

Betsy Toman,

By: /s/Joseph K. Nichele

One of Her Attorneys

Ronald J. Broida Joseph K. Nichele Broida and Associates, Ltd. Attorneys at Law Attorneys for Plaintiff 1250 East Diehl Road, Suite 108 Naperville, Illinois 60563 630-245-1515 630-245-1565 (FAX)

- 5 -

CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION	AGENCY	CHARGE NUMBER	
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974; see Privacy Act Statement on reverse before completing this form.			440-2010-02579
Illinois Department of Hum (State or Local Agency, If Any)	an Rights		and EEOC
NAME (Indicate Mr., Ms, or Mrs.)		HOME TELEPHONE	NUMBER (include Area Code)
Ms. Betsy Toman			
STREET ADDRESS	CITY, STATE AND	ZIP CODE	DATE OF BIRTH
NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, LABOR ORGANIZATION, EMPLOY GOVERNMENT AGENCY WHO DISCRIMINATED AGAINST ME (COMMITTEE, STATE OR LOCAL
NAME Cantigny Foundation a/k/a NO.OFE	MPLOYEES/MEMBERS	TELEPHONE NUMBER (include Area Code)	
Robert R. McCormick Foundation 101-	200	(630) 66	8-5161
STREET ADDRESS	CITY, STATE AND	IP CODE	COUNTY
1 South 151 Winfield Rd., Wheaton, IL			DuPage
NAME NO. OF E	MPLOYEES/MEMBERS	TELEPHONE NUM	BER (include Area Code)
STREET ADDRESS	CITY, STATE AND 2	CIP CODE	
CAUSE OF DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es))		DATE D	ISCRIMINATION TOOK PLACE
RACE COLOR SEX RELIGION	NATIONAL ORIGIN	EARLIEST	LATEST
	R (Specify)	4/18/2008	3 10/16/2009
		XX CONTINU	ING ACTION
THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional space is needed, attached extra sheet(s))			
SEE ATTACHED			
		*;	
			Those of the second of the sec
•	Q _L	, 19 ₇ -	
	.10	24 E	
		$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{I}, \lambda}} = \emptyset$	
		(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	
		17Cz.	
·		٠, ر	% _
			CA
•			
want this charge filed with the EEOC and the State FEPA. I will	NOTARY - (When necess	anita mast State and	Local Beguingments
dvise the agencies if I change my address or telephone number	MOTART - (Attentinens)	eat & to thear orace and	Forst vedancustra)
and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in	I swear or affirm that	have read the abo	ve charge and that it is true to the
ccordance with their procedures.	best of my knowledge,	information and beli	
declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.	SIGNATURE OF COMPLA	MANT	
Boto Time			!
Detsy 1 om	SUBSCRIBED AND SWO	RN TO BEFÖRE ME TI	IIS DATE:
V	(Month, day and year)		
ate Charging Party (Signature)			

THIS FORM PROVIDED FREE OF CHARGE BY WWW.EEOCOFFICE.COM

PARTICULARS

On October 20, 1997, I began my employment at Cantigny Foundation a/k/a Robert McCormick Foundation ("McCormick Foundation"). My job position at that time was Parts and Records Assistant, and my duties included mostly office work, and occasionally driving a pickup truck to get parts. At all times during my employment at McCormick Foundation, I was performing my job duties in a satisfactory manner.

In March of 2007, I was diagnosed as having a tumor in my foot requiring surgery. I was off of work for seven weeks for surgery and recovery, and because of continuing pain, I needed additional physical therapy later that year (I attended physical therapy in October and November 2007 after work hours). The pain from the tumor and subsequent surgery caused me extreme difficulty in walking and lifting. When I returned to work after my surgery, there was a complete change in how I was treated by my supervisors. After my surgery, I was treated in a hostile manner.

When I started working at the McCormick Foundation, I was told by the director of the department that once the current purchasing agent, Grace Knox retired, I would be promoted to her job position. The fact that I was going to be promoted was continually reconfirmed to me up until the time just prior to my diagnosis.

In August of 2007, I learned that another less qualified and less senior employee was being trained for that position. No explanation was ever given to me, and the only change in my circumstances was that I had surgery and subsequent difficulty walking and lifting.

In January of 2008, my job title was changed to Facility Assistant and my duties were increased to include additional walking, climbing stairs and lifting and carrying every day. Prior to this time, my job duties included mostly office work, did not have a substantial physical component. These new duties were difficult for me; however, when I informed my supervisors of my difficulties, I was ignored.

1. In 2008, every employee in my department received a 2% raise. I received a 1% raise. I was told the reason for the difference was because I did not perform all my work because of my restrictions.

On January 16, 2009, my supervisors, already ignoring my lifting and walking restrictions informed me that more job tasks were to be added to my job description which would include inventory (climbing the ladder in the parts room) and duties at the club house on the far south end of the property. My objections that these additional tasks did not comply with my work restrictions were ignored. I referred back to my doctor at which point we realized his original restrictions had been misinterpreted and were in fact even more restrictive in the area of walking. I immediately informed my supervisors and was put on desk work only.

2. and 3. In March of 2009, I was forced to go on short-term disability even though I had not requested to do so. On October 16, 2009, after my short-term disability expired, I received a letter dated October 8, 2009 by Federal Express, notifying me that my employment at the McCormick Foundation was terminated.

I am still in pain and I am disabled in the major life activities of walking and working, and my employer regarded me as disabled. My supervisors at the McCormick Foundation treated me differently than non-disabled individuals and I was treated in a more hostile manner because of my disability. I was denied promotions and raises, and my supervisors ignored my lifting and walking restrictions. I believe I could have preformed the essential functions of my job with reasonable accommodations. Instead, after I became disabled, additional duties were added to my job which included lifting (over my weight restrictions) and walking up stairs and ladders. I had been employed at the McCormick Found Foundation for over 11 years, and always performed my job duties in a satisfactory manner

I believe I was treated differently than non-disabled employees, that reasonable accommodations were ignored, and I was terminated on the basis of my disability.

EEOC Form 161 (11/09)

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

EEOC Form 161	(11/09)	. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPP	OKIUNI	TY COMMISSION				
DISMISSAL AND NOTICE OF RIGHTS								
	/ Toman		From:	Chicago District Offi 500 West Madison S Suite 2000 Chicago, IL 60661				
CERT	TFIED MAIL 7011 1570 0	001 8378 0214		•				
	CONFIDENTIAL (n(s) aggrieved whose identity is 29 CFR §1601.7(a))						
EEOC Charg	e No.	EEOC Representative			Telephone No.			
		Brandi Kraft,						
440-2010-0	12579	Investigator			(312) 869-8153			
THE EEOC	IS CLOSING ITS FILE (ON THIS CHARGE FOR THE	FOLLO'	WING REASON:				
	The facts alleged in the cha	irge fail to state a claim under an	y of the s	tatutes enforced by the El	EOC.			
	Your allegations did not involve a disability as defined by the Americans With Disabilities Act.							
	The Respondent employs less than the required number of employees or is not otherwise covered by the statutes.							
Your charge was not timely filed with EEOC; in other words, you waited too long after the date(s) of the alleged discrimination to file your charge								
X	The EEOC issues the following determination: Based upon its investigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that the information obtained establishes violations of the statutes. This does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes. No finding is made as to any other issues that might be construed as having been raised by this charge.							
	The EEOC has adopted the	findings of the state or local fair	employm	ent practices agency that	investigated this charge.			
	Other (briefly state)							
- NOTICE OF SUIT RIGHTS - (See the additional information attached to this form.)								
Title VII, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act, or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act: This will be the only notice of dismissal and of your right to sue that we will send you. You may file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) under federal law based on this charge in federal or state court. Your lawsuit must be filed WITHIN 90 DAYS of your receipt of this notice; or your right to sue based on this charge will be lost. (The time limit for filing suit based on a claim under state law may be different.)								
alleged EPA	ct (EPA): EPA suits must underpayment. This mean le suit may not be collec	be filed in federal or state constant backpay due for any stible.	urt within violatio	2 years (3 years for wins that occurred more	llful violations) of the than 2 years (3 years)			
		On behalf of th	ne Commi	ssion				
		Jun P. D	we	11/2a	8-12-U			
Enclosures(s)		John P. Rov District Direc			(Date Mailed)			
				•				